

Filed 08/17/2005 Page 1 of 2 PROCESS RECEIPT AND KETURN

U.S. Department of Justice United States Marshals Service

See Instructions for "Service of Process by the U.S. Marshal" on the reverse of this form.

DI . II III							
PLAINTIFF	MICH	461 R	482			COURT CASE NUMB	5-GAO
DEFENDANT	1.	<u>, </u>				TYPE OF PROCESS	5
	MECHA	EL MI	HUNEU	1. ET.1	4L-		HCT FON
SERVE (_				PTION OF PROPERTY T	O SEIZE OR CONDEM
→ {	ADDRESS (Street			and ZIP Code)			
AT	PO BOX	8000	S14	V3/S0	MA	POLYLON	
SEND NOTICE	OF SERVICE COPY TO		NAME AND A	DDRESS BELOW:	— Number	of process to be	1
	C J D S	1. Rile	÷ 🗁		l served	with this Form - 285	1 1
11/2	NUT CEL	SAR_ IN	ETJUN	70	Number	of parties to be	100
177	70 Bo	100			i	in this case	12
'	56 W4	HOUSE	MAPO	2071-01	Check 1	for service	
					on U.S.		- c
SPECIAL INSTI- Telephone Numb	RUCTIONS OR OTHER pers, and Estimated Time	INFORMATION Tes Available For Ser	HAT WILL ASS vice):	IST IN EXPEDITIN	G SERVICE	(Include Business and	Alegate Addresses, A
	HONE			6500			BO BAR
V	HUN C	((6	J 1 1	• -			- 3 STO STO STO STO STO STO STO STO STO STO
							##Z
							O KRIE
							RVIC
Signature of Attor	mey or other Originator re	equesting service on	behalf of:	PLAINTIFF	TELEP	HONE NUMBER	DATE M
1	-04			☐ DEFENDAN	l l		7/13/05
SPACE B	ELOW FOR US	SE OF U.S.	MARSHAI	ONIX — D	O NOT	WRITE BELO	W THIS LINE
I acknowledge rec	•	I Process District	District	Signature of Auth	orized USM	S Deputy or Clerk	Date
number of process indicated. (Sign only first USM 285 if more than one USM 285 is submitted) No. 35 No. 38 No. 38						8/3/0	
than one USM 28		(No. 35					
	nd return that I 🗌 have pe , company, corporation, c						
I hereby cert	ify and return that I am	unable to locate th	no individual cor	many corporation	ete named	ubova (Soo romurke bol	law)
	of individual served (if i			mpany, corporation,			
Name and the	n marviduar served (ii r	ior snown above,					suitable age and dis- esiding in the defendant of abode.
Address (complet	e only if different than sh	own above)				Date of Service	Time a
							,
						Signature of U.S.	Marshal or Deputy
Service Fee	Total Mileage Charges (including endeavors)		Total Charges	Advance Deposits	Amount or	wed to U.S. Marshal or	Amount of Refund
REMARKS:	0, -	Day I NI a	1 value				
	throws p	ug Cert Mai	~ 01 A102	M			

AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action		
UNITED STA	ATES DISTI	RICT COURT
	District of	MASSACHUSETTS
MICHAEL BAEZ Plaintiff V.		SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE
MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL. Defendants	CASE	C.A. 05-11045-GAO
TO: (Name and address of Defendant)		
MICHAEL CRAVEDI, SGT. OUZO BOKONOWSKI OHLECK, WA UNG YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and	L	ipon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)
MICHAEL BAEZ, PRO SE MCI CEO DE JONCTEMI PO BOX 100 50. Walpule, MAOZOTICO * or answer as otherwise required by the Fede		Procedure.
	vice. If you fail to	days after service of this do so, judgment by default will be taken against your answer with the Clerk of this Court within
SARAH ALLISON THORNTON CLERK	DATE	2 - / USA

Robert Stelles

(By) DEPUTY CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ, Plaintiff.

V.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

ORDER ON APPLICATION TO PROCEED WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF FEES

Now before the Court is plaintiff's Application to Proceed Without Prepayment of Fees and Affidavit:

FINDINGS The Court finds the following: Is plaintiff a "prisoner" as defined in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(h)? Α. \boxtimes Nο Yes B. Is a filing fee, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b), to be assessed at this time? 1. Yes 🗆 Plaintiff is obligated to pay the statutory filing fee immediately. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). (\$250.00 for a civil action or \$255.00 for a notice of appeal). 2. Yes 🖾 An initial partial filing fee of **\$.80** is assessed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). The remainder of the fee \$249.20 is to be assessed in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). 3. Yes \square Plaintiff has proffered evidence of being without funds for six months and being currently without funds. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2), plaintiff is assessed an obligation to make monthly payments of 20 percent of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's account each time it exceeds \$10.00 towards the payment of the \$250.00 filing fee. 4. No □ Plaintiff is not assessed a filing fee at this time.

C.	After screening pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 and/or 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, wh if any, of the following findings does the court make?								
	1.			rmined that the complaint is frivolous, malicious, or fails on which relief may be granted?					
		No 🛛	Yes 🗆	as to the claims against defendant(s)					
	2.			that the complaint seeks relief from a defendant mune from such relief?					
		No ⊠	Yes □	as to the claims against defendant(s)					
	3.	42 U.S.C. §	1997e, Sec.	7(g)(2):					
				ny defendant to reply to a complaint if it [the iff has a reasonable opportunity to prevail on the					
		pleading red that the plai	quirements for ntiff has a rea	nined that the complaint is sufficient to satisfy the stating a cognizable claim and, second, found sonable opportunity to prevail on the merits on the complaint against one or more defendants?					
		a. Yes 🗆	The court ha	as so determined and found as to defendant(s)					
		b. No 🗆		e court has determined that the complaint does leading requirements for stating a cognizable st					
			☐ all defer	ndants the defendant(s)					
		c. No \square	plaintiff will	e court has determined that the likelihood that prevail on the merits falls short of the opportunity" standard of the statute, as to ast					
			☐ all defer	ndants □ the defendant(s)					

	d. Canno	ot say								
			on the	ese que s again	estion st	ns on th		nt recor	determina d as to the	
				ORDE	<u>RS</u>					
Based	Based upon the foregoing, it is ORDERED:									
1.	May the applica			vithout p	prepa	ayment	of fees	be GRA	NTED?	
2.	If a finding is ma subject to early Ye	modific	cation?	raph B a	abov	e, is it a	ı provisi	onal find	ding that is	i
	If the above and days of the date account, or a sta perjury, showing without paymen plaintiff, the cou to modify parage	of this atemer g eligibi t of as art will o	s Order, ei nt signed b ility to prod much as t consider th	ther a copy plain beed in found ir	ertifi tiff un this para	ed copy nder the action v agraph	of his/he pains a vithout p B above	er priso and pen aying a to be a	on trust alties of filing fee assessed t	or :he
3.	Is it FURTHER clerk send a cop	y of th	is Order to							he
4.	Is it FURTHER Marshal serve a the plaintiff with	сору	of the com sts of servi	plaint, ce to be	sumı e adv	mons, a	nd this o	order as	directed	
				c	only a	as to de	fendant	<u> </u>		
5.	Is it FURTHER	g		□□ a	s to a	all defer			this action	?
				~ ~B~ .	-					

6.	a.	complaint, are the defendant(s	ot have been served with a summons and s) invited but not required to file an answer prompt final disposition on the merits?
		No □ Yes ☒☒	as to all defendants only as to defendant(s)
	OR	_	only do to dolondant(o)
	b.	the defendant(s) required to resummons?	served with a summons and complaint, are eply within the time specified in the as to all defendants
		_	only as to defendants
Dated	l: June	GI	George A. O'Toole EORGE A. O'TOOLE NITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL BAEZ, Plaintiff,

v.

Civil Action No. 05-11045-GAO

MICHAEL MALONEY, ET AL., Defendants

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

O'TOOLE, D.J.

In his Complaint, Plaintiff has requested appointment of counsel. This request for appointment of counsel is denied without prejudice.

It is well settled that the decision to appoint counsel is discretionary, and neither a civil litigant nor a habeas petitioner has a constitutional or statutory right to appointed counsel.

Dellenbach v. Hanks, 76 F.3d 820, 823 (7th Cir. 1996), cert. denied. 519 U.S. 894 (1996);

Jackson v. Coalter, 337 F.3d 74 (1st Cir. 2003)(state prisoner had no right to counsel to collaterally attack sentence). In order to qualify for appointment of counsel, a party must be indigent and exceptional circumstances must exist such that the denial of counsel will result in fundamental unfairness impinging on the party's due process rights. See DesRosiers v. Moran, 949 F. 2d 15, 23 (1st Cir. 1991). See also Manisy v. Maloney, 283 F. Supp. 2d 307, 317 (D. Mass. 2003)(Memorandum and Order on Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Dein, adopted (on the denial of counsel issue) by Judge Stearns by Memorandum and Order (#32) dated September 4, 2003 in C.A. 01-11849-RGS). In determining whether there are exceptional circumstances sufficient to warrant the appointment of counsel, a court must examine the total situation, focusing on the merits of the case, the complexity of the legal issues, and the litigant's

ability to represent himself. DesRosiers, 949 F. 2d at 23-24 (citations omitted).

While the Court finds that the Plaintiff in this action has demonstrated that he is without sufficient funds to retain counsel, he has not yet demonstrated the kind of "exceptional circumstances" that warrant appointment of counsel in this action. Petitioner merely requests "a law firm be appointed" but does not provide any facts whatsoever to justify a *pro bono* appointment of counsel. There is no indication here that the Plaintiff is unable to represent himself competently due to lack of mental capacity or lack of understanding of the English language. In fact, Petitioner's pleadings to date appear to be well-organized and coherent, suggesting a proficiency in the English language and at least some familiarity with legal terms and prison law. The mere fact that he is a prisoner and is proceeding *pro se* is insufficient to call for appointment of counsel, because such assertions essentially could be made by any prisoner litigant. Further, Petitioner has not shown that this case raises novel or complex issues of law.

Absent such indications, appointment is not warranted at this time, and the request is Denied.

The denial of the request, however, is without prejudice to renew after the Defendants have filed a response to the Complaint. If the Plaintiff wishes to renew his request, he must file a "Motion for Appointment of Counsel" setting forth the exceptional circumstances which he alleges exist in this case which would support the motion.

Dated: June 24, 2005

/s/ George A. O'Toole

GEORGE A. O'TOOLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE